

## ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

### *Summary*

Recent news and data may hint at a potential shift in the U.S. economic landscape. The January Consumer Price Index (CPI), released in mid-February, rose 0.5% month-over-month, lifting the annual rate to 3%—the highest since mid-2024. The largest CPI increase in 16 months caught market participants off guard, as many expected year-over-year inflation to stabilize or ease due to favorable base effects. Rising costs in housing and services indicate prices are not cooling as expected, potentially keeping borrowing costs high if the Federal Reserve continues to hold rates steady.

The labor market continues to send mixed signals. January's jobs report added just 143,000 jobs—below expectations. On the positive side, the unemployment rate edged lower by 0.1%, while prior months' payroll figures were revised higher. These contrasting trends offer a varied but cautiously positive tone since seasonality tends to factor into the January hiring data. However, continued weakness could pressure the Fed to cut rates more aggressively.

The uncertainty of the new administration's policies adds complexity. President Trump's tariffs on Mexico, Canada and China may disrupt supply chains, potentially driving up prices for goods such as cars and groceries. Conversely, proposed tax cuts and deregulation may boost private and business investment, offsetting some of these pressures. Reduced government spending and federal job cuts could ripple beyond Washington, potentially weighing on the broader economy. These developments remain fluid and warrant close attention.

First quarter GDP is projected to fall below trend—possibly negative—due to record high imports as businesses preempt

tariffs. Looking further ahead, the tug of war between growth and restraint will shape the economy. Persistent inflation and tariffs may erode purchasing power, while a softening labor market would test consumer resilience. Navigating this evolving landscape calls for balancing optimism with caution as the economic landscape adjusts to these new cross currents.

### *Positives*

ISM manufacturing and services were both in expansionary territory (50.3 and 53.5)

Durable goods orders exceeded expectations (3.1% vs. 2.0% est.)

Average Hourly Earnings beat expectations by 0.2% (0.5% vs. 0.3% est.)

### *Negatives*

Year-over-year Core CPI increased for the first time in five months (3.3%)

Month-over-month retail sales disappointed (-0.9% vs. -0.2% est.)

Existing home sales missed expectations (-4.9% vs. -2.6% est.)

## EQUITY OUTLOOK

---

### *Summary*

The S&P 500 Index notched a fresh closing high-water mark mid month but still closed out February 1.3% lower than where it started. The price action seems consistent with a market that appears to have lost a bit of momentum.

The trend of growth stocks outperforming value that has been so pervasive the last several years seems to be losing some steam too. The Russell 1000 Growth Index fell 3.6% while the Russell 1000 Value Index rose 0.4%. This further expanded the performance disparity between these styles to begin 2025. This trend is further evidenced when you consider the best performing sector in February was value-oriented consumer staples, which climbed 5.7% and the worst performing sector over the month was growth-oriented consumer discretionary, which fell 9.4%. It gives the appearance investors may be looking for a safer place to hide.

International markets have fared better so far this year. The developed markets MSCI EAFE Index rose 2.0% in February, extending the year-to-date gain to 7.3%. The MSCI Emerging Markets Index added 0.5% during the month and is up 2.3% to begin the year.

With fourth quarter earnings results largely behind us, results were mostly better than expected. However, there were increasing mentions of inflation and tariffs that put a slight damper on guidance. Many companies, close to the consumer, also noted some belt tightening behavior as shoppers brace for more uncertain economic conditions.

Overall, corporations are healthy from a financial position, which should allow them to weather any potential rough waters. There is a high level of uncertainty around tariffs, retaliatory tariffs, austerity measures and isolationism. There is also much political and academic debate about the long-term impact of these policies, but the reality is that it is difficult to be certain how this will play out. It seems reasonable to expect a higher level of volatility over the months ahead.

### *Positives*

Corporate balance sheets

---

Reduced government spending

---

Higher productivity from technological investment

---

### *Negatives*

Softening economic conditions

---

Elevated trade tensions

---

### *Unknowns*

Inflation path forward

---

## FIXED INCOME OUTLOOK

### *Summary*

Last fall, economic optimism and concerns about higher budget deficits propelled interest rates higher as President Trump began to lead in the polls and ultimately retook the presidency. From mid-September to mid-January the 10-year Treasury note increased by 1.17%. Over the same period, investors reduced their expectations for cumulative Fed rate cuts by the end of 2025 from 250 basis points (bps) to 125 bps (just one additional 25 bp cut beyond the 100 bps in cuts already delivered). That optimism faded as the new administration worked quickly to shake-up the status quo on trade, foreign aid, military arrangements and government efficiency. Concern has now shifted to a slowing economy due to the fallout of these efforts to restructure our government.

Investor concerns about inflation seem to have fallen by the wayside even as actual inflation trends upward and consumer surveys show inflation expectations becoming “unanchored.” On the broad tariff concerns, the University of Michigan survey shows the 5-year inflation expectation jumping to 3.5%, the highest in 31 years. Echoing the survey results, market-based indicators show near-term inflation expectations have been steadily rising. But ultimately, the economic pessimism became the driving force behind a powerful rally in the bond market. Since the mid-January high in yields, the 10-year has declined by about 60 bps, 33 of which came in February. The 2-year followed a similar pattern coming down about 40 bps from the January high with a 21 bp drop in February. The Fed Funds futures market is back to expecting three 25 bp rate cuts this year from just one in mid-January.

February was another active month for new issuance of investment-grade (IG) corporate bonds at \$155 billion. According to JP Morgan’s tabulations, this level was 15% higher than the past four-year average, but down 21% from last year’s record February. Importantly, net issuance was only \$75 billion as there were maturities of \$80 billion, which was a record for the month of February. Credit spreads increased about 8 bps on average across the IG market during the month leading to modest underperformance of corporate

bonds relative to Treasury debt. With favorable net supply, the increase in credit spreads was likely due to the same concerns about the economy. Going forward, there will be rapidly increasing levels of maturing corporate bonds that were issued at or near record low yields five years ago at the beginning of the pandemic. If corporations decide to use cash to fund those maturities instead of issuing new bonds, there could be a bidding war for IG bonds which could drive spreads back down again, maybe beyond recent lows.

With the continued uncertainty about tariff/trade policy and government rightsizing, we continue to maintain a duration policy that is neutral to relevant benchmarks. Given the wave of maturities in the IG corporate market, we believe buyers will be flush with cash which should keep credit spreads from moving materially wider. We continue to recommend a higher allocation to corporate bonds.

### *Positives*

Economic disruptions caused by policy uncertainties

Treasury departments focus on long-term interest rates

### *Negatives*

Tariffs could increase inflationary pressures in the near-term

America First agenda could sour foreign investor demand for U.S. debt

### *Unknowns*

Ability to reduce budget deficit

Ability to end Israeli conflict and Russia/Ukraine war